



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

	States Patent and Trademark Office
Address:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/087,590		02/27/2002	Jered Donald Aasheim	MS1-1067US	3893
22801	22801 7590 02/26/2004		· .	EXAM	INER
LEE & HAYES PLLC 421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500 SPOKANE, WA 99201			500	PEIKARI, BEHZAD	
			, <u> </u>	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2186	2
				DATE MAILED: 02/26/200	4 G

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		\mathcal{A}					
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/087,590	AASHEIM ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	B. James Peikari	2186					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ja							
,—	,—						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
·	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 45	03 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are rejected.		•					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers	•	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 February 2002</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n have been received						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •						
application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		d.					
. Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2,3,4,5.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/087,590

Art Unit: 2186

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

 Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-44 in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged.

Drawings

2. The view numbers of the drawings should be amended in accordance with 37 CFR 1.84(u)(1). For example, "FIG. 1" should replace "Fig. 1".

Claim Objections

3. Claim 7, because of its particular construction, is to be treated as an independent claim. Applicant must pay the necessary additional fees.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/087,590

Art Unit: 2186

5. Claims 1-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Bruce et al., U.S. 6,000,006.

As presently written, the claims are quite broad, to the extent that they would have been taught by a system that utilized a random access memory to store logical-to-physical address mapping information for an associated flash memory, with all of the usual procedures utilized with such a map, such as reestablishing the map when a modification or erasure has occurred. Bruce et al. taught such a system. Note Figures 4 and 6 and column 6.

6. Claims 1-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by either one of Mitani, U.S. 6,633,956, or Fujimoto et al., U.S. 6,377,500.

As presently written, the claims are quite broad, to the extent that they would have been taught by a system that utilized a random access memory to store logical-to-physical address mapping information for an associated flash memory, with all of the usual procedures utilized with such a map, such as reestablishing the map when a modification or erasure has occurred.

Mitani taught such a system. Note Figure 1.

Fujuimoto et al. taught such a system. Note Figures 1, 3 and 4A.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,590

Art Unit: 2186

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Peikari whose telephone number is (703) 305-3824. The examiner is generally available between 11:00 am and 9:30 pm, EST, Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Kim, can be reached at (703) 305-3821.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 746-7239 (Official communications)

or:

(703) 746-7240 (for Informal or Draft communications)

or:

(703) 746-7238 (for After-Final communications)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

B. James Peikari Primary Examiner Art Unit 2186

2/23/04